



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/620,583	07/16/2003	Christian Wachter	GKNG 1160 PUS	8891	
7	590 03/31/2005		EXAMINER		
Robert P. Renke			PANG, ROGER L		
Suite 250 28333 Telegrap	oh Road		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
Southfield, MI 48034			3681		
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1								
7		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
/	Advisory Action	10/620,583	WACHTER ET AL.					
	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Roger L Pang	3681					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE	REPLY FILED 07 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
1. 🛭	☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS								
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered	because				
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO						
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	eaucing or simplifying	the issues for				
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
_	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
5. L 5. L	☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling							
۰. ـــ	the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. 🔀	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ worlded below or appended.	rill be entered and an	explanation of				
	Claim(s) allowed: <u>13 and 15</u> . Claim(s) objected to:							
	Claim(s) rejected to Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6,8,10-12,14 and 17</u> .							
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>5 and 16</u> .							
	IDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary				
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
	10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:				
_	☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the limitations added to claim 1 create new combinations of claims that would need to be considered and searched.

ROGER PANG PRIMARY EXAMINER

3-24-5